1 2	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JAYNE KIM, No. 174614	FILED
3	CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309	NOV - 6 2012
4	DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102	STATE BAR COURT
5	ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ASHOD MOORADIAN, No. 194283	CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
6	DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street	SPAADFA A VA A V MARKAN RO
7	Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1004	PUBLIC MATTER
8		
9		
10	STATE BAR COURT	
11	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES	
12		
13	In the Matter of:) Case No. 12-O-12214
14	HENRY MIN LEE,	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES
15	No. 156041,	
16	A Member of the State Bar.	
17)
18	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!	
19	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE	
20	WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:	
21	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE EN	TERED;
22	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO	
23	THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLE	TED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN SS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION
24	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET AS (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT SPECIFICALLY IF YOU FAN	TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.
25	SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT	
26	FURTHER HEARING OR PRO	CEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., HE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.
27	RULES OF I ROCEDURE OF I	
28		kwiktag* 152 143 612

-1-

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. HENRY MIN LEE ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 16, 1991, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 12-O-12214
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1)
[Failure to Release File]

- 2. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1), by failing to release promptly, upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property, as follows:
- 3. On or about September 11, 2008, Ok Song Chang ("Ms. Chang") entered into a contingency fee agreement ("retainer") with Respondent for representation in a claim for unpaid wages and damages against her former employer, A-Ju Tours, Inc. The retainer included terms that provided that Ms. Chang could terminate Respondent's services at any time and for any reason, effective upon receipt of written notice and that Respondent would release the client's file upon the client's request.
- 4. On or about November 5, 2008, Respondent filed a complaint on Ms. Chang's behalf in Los Angeles Superior Court, case number BC401329, entitled *Ok S. Chang vs. A-Ju Tours*, *Inc., et al.* ("A-Ju Tours case"). In this complaint, Respondent alleged a series of labor law violations related to unpaid wages and included one count for sexual discrimination.
- 5. In or about July 2010, Ms. Chang obtained a favorable verdict on all the labor law violations, but was denied on the sexual discrimination cause of action.
- 6. On or about May 24, 2011, Ms. Chang terminated Respondent's representation and requested the release of her file through a written notice delivered to Respondent by an authorized representative. Respondent received the written notice of termination and request for the release of Ms. Chang's file. Respondent refused to release Ms. Chang's file.

26

27

28

1	16. By failing to communicate the \$60,000.00 settlement offer to Ms. Chang, Respondent	
2	failed to communicate promptly to a client all amounts, terms, and conditions of any written	
3	offer of settlement made to the client in all non-criminal matters.	
4	COUNT THREE	
5 6	Case No. 12-O-12214 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m) [Failure to Inform Client of Significant Development]	
7	17. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m), by	
8	failing to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which	
9	Respondent had agreed to provide legal services, as follows:	
10	18. The allegations of Counts One and Two are incorporated herein by this reference.	
11	19. On or about February 7, 2011, the opposing counsel in the A-Ju Tours case sent an	
12	email to Respondent offering that both sides agree that they will not pursue collections of their	
13	respective judgments while appeal is pending ("February 7, 2011 offer"). Respondent received	
14	the February 7, 2011 offer, but did not communicate the February 7, 2011 offer to Ms. Chang.	
15	20. On or about February 9, 2011, the opposing counsel in the A-Ju Tours case sent an	
16	email to Respondent requesting that Ms. Change agree to allow A-Ju Tours to waive appeal bond	ı
17	as permitted by Code of Civil Procedure section 995.230 upon the terms of February 7, 2011	
18	email or upon any different terms ("February 9, 2011 request"). Respondent received the	
19	February 9, 2011 request, but did not communicate the February 9, 2011 request to Ms. Chang.	
20	21. By failing to inform Ms. Chang of the February 7, 2011 offer and by failing to inform	
21	Ms. Chang of the February 9, 2011 request, Respondent failed to keep a client reasonably	
22	informed of significant developments in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide	
23	legal services.	
24	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>	
25	Case No. 12-0-12214	
26	Business and Professions Code, section 6106 [Moral Turpitude – Misrepresentation to State Bar]	
27	22. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6106, by	

28 committing an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption, as follows:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted.

Deputy Trial Counsel

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: November 5, 2012 By: ASHOD MOORADIAN

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE CERTIFIED MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 12-0-12214

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 7160 3901 9848 3337 0404, at Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

Henry M. Lee Henry M. Lee, Law Corporation 3530 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710 Los Angeles, CA 90010

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: November 6, 2012

Signed: Yaula Kider
Paula Heider
Declarant